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**In Brief**
Smurova and Podbilewicz find that RAB-5 and dynamin-mediated endocytosis removes the fusogen EFF-1 from the plasma membrane and serves as a negative regulator of cell-cell fusion in *C. elegans* embryos. Thus, dynamic and transient localization of EFF-1 on the apical plasma membranes is sufficient to merge neighboring cells.

**Highlights**
- The fusion protein EFF-1 is targeted to early endosomes
- Dynamin and RAB-5 downregulate EFF-1 in *C. elegans* embryos
- Transient and dynamic localization of EFF-1 to apical cell membranes mediates fusion
- Prevention of EFF-1 endocytosis induces excessive cell fusion

*Smurova & Podbilewicz, 2016, Cell Reports 14, 1–11*
SUMMARY

Cell-cell fusion plays essential roles during fertilization and organogenesis. Previous studies in C. elegans led to the identification of the eukaryotic fusion protein (EFF-1 fusogen), which has structural homology to class II viral fusogens. Transcriptional repression of EFF-1 ensures correct fusion fates, and overexpression of EFF-1 results in embryonic lethality. EFF-1 must be expressed on the surface of both fusing cells; however, little is known regarding how cells regulate EFF-1 surface exposure. Here, we report that EFF-1 is actively removed from the plasma membrane of epidermal cells by dynamin- and RAB-5-dependent endocytosis and accumulates in early endosomes. EFF-1 was transiently localized to apical domains of fusion-compotent cells. Effective cell-cell fusion occurred only between pairs of cell membranes in which EFF-1 localized. Downregulation of dynamin or RAB-5 caused EFF-1 mislocalization to all apical membrane domains and excessive fusion. Thus, internalization of EFF-1 is a safety mechanism preventing excessive cell fusion.

INTRODUCTION

Cell-to-cell fusion initiates the process of sexual reproduction and, following fertilization, sculpts organs such as muscle, bone, eye lens, and placenta in the developing organism (Aguilar et al., 2013). Cell fusion is also involved in inflammation, regeneration, wound healing, and cancer (Losick et al., 2013; Medvinsky and Smith, 2003; Oren-Suissa and Podbilewicz, 2010; Rizvi et al., 2006). Nevertheless, little is known about mechanisms that regulate cell fusion (Chen et al., 2007; Podbilewicz, 2014). In the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, one-third of all somatic cells fuse during development, making this organism attractive for studying cell fusion (Gattegno et al., 2007; Podbilewicz and White, 1994; Shinn-Thomas and Mohler, 2011). The first identified eukaryotic fusogen, the C. elegans epithelial fusion failure 1 (EFF-1), mediates fusion of cells in the hypodermis (skin), pharynx, and vulva (Mohler et al., 2002). Ectopic expression of EFF-1 can induce fusion of cells that normally do not fuse both in C. elegans and in heterologous cells grown in culture (Avinoam et al., 2011; Podbilewicz et al., 2006; Shemer et al., 2004). Fusion of these cells requires EFF-1 expression in both fusing partners (Avinoam et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015; Podbilewicz et al., 2006; Shilagardi et al., 2013). Because EFF-1 is a potent fusogen and its ectopic expression induces embryonic lethality, it must be regulated in space and time. Different genetic pathways including Engrailed/CEH-16, GATA factors, Hox, Notch, RTK, and Wnt signaling regulate eff-1 activity directly or indirectly (Alper and Kenyon, 2002; Brabin et al., 2011; Cassata et al., 2005; Fernandes and Sternberg, 2007; Kontani et al., 2005; Margalit et al., 2007; Pellegrino et al., 2011; Rasmussen et al., 2008; Shemer and Podbilewicz, 2002; Walser et al., 2006; Weinstein and Mendoza, 2013). However, very little is known about EFF-1 regulation at the protein level.

We aimed to understand which cellular mechanisms are involved in EFF-1 posttranslational regulation. The endocytic pathway controls numerous cellular processes including signaling pathways, epithelial polarity, cellular remodeling, synaptic transmission, cancer, and osteoclast and myoblast fusion (Chen et al., 2006; Fares and Greenwald, 2001; Grant and Hirsh, 1999; Leikina et al., 2013; Luga et al., 2012; Mellman and Yarden, 2013; Sato and Sato, 2013; Shin et al., 2014; Watanabe et al., 2013). Researchers have uncovered the role of actin, lipids, membrane curvature-modulating proteins, and dynamin in clathrin-dependent and -independent pathways of endocytosis (Kozlov et al., 2014; McMahon and Boucrot, 2011; Messa et al., 2014; Schmid et al., 2014). Rab proteins, small GTP-binding proteins of the Ras superfamily, control trafficking between organelles, including the ER, Golgi, plasma membrane, endosomes, and lysosomes (Grant and Donaldson, 2009; Mellman, 1996; Mizuno-Yamasaki et al., 2012). The Rab5 GTPase was shown to be a central regulator of the endolysosomal system as loss of Rab5 function caused a reduction in the number of endosomes and lysosomes and associated block of endocytosis (Zeigerer et al., 2012). However, little is known about membrane trafficking during developmental cell fusion.

Here, we show that endocytosis regulates homotypic EFF-1-mediated cell-cell fusion in C. elegans embryos. EFF-1 colocalizes with RAB-5 in early endosomes before and during fusion, whereas RAB-5 depletion results in EFF-1 mislocalization to the apical plasma membrane and induces ectopic fusion. EFF-1 localization at the apical plasma membrane is dynamic and transient due to its downregulation by dynamin- and RAB-5-dependent endocytosis. Membrane merger is initiated only
when both apposing apical plasma membranes co-express EFF-1.

RESULTS

EFF-1 Localizes to Intracellular Puncta

To uncover the expression pattern of the EFF-1 protein during development, its endogenous localization was followed by immunostaining with anti-EFF-1 monoclonal antibody (green) and anti-DLG-1 antibody (apical junctions, magenta), EFF-1 vesicular localization (arrows) at developmental stages prior to (A and B) and after epithelial cell fusion (C) in wild-type C. elegans embryos is shown. Co-localization of EFF-1 with apical junction is shown (arrowhead; B). Fused hyp6 and hyp7 syncytia are outlined with a white dashed line in (C).

RAB-5 and DYN-1 RNAi Induce EFF-1 Plasma Membrane Accumulation

To follow the dynamics of EFF-1 expression in live embryos, we generated a transgenic strain carrying a fosmid-based reporter construct containing the entire EFF-1 locus fused to GFP, as well as ~10 kb of upstream and downstream cis-regulatory regions (Sarov et al., 2012). The EFF-1::GFP fosmid was injected into the eff-1(ok1021)-null embryos at any stages did not show immunoreactivity, revealing the specificity of the monoclonal antibodies (Figure 1D). Thus, EFF-1 is expressed in puncta at the onset, during, and after hypodermal cell fusion in developing embryos (Figure 1E).
modest colocalization between EFF-1::GFP and DLG-1::RFP (Figures 2A and 2C). In contrast, in rab-5(RNAi), the intensity profile of EFF-1::GFP along a random line within the cell shows peaks overlapping with DLG-1::RFP peaks (Figure 2D), confirming the visual observation of enrichment of EFF-1 on apical junctions. Thus, EFF-1 is not present in apical cell membranes in the steady state. The overall level of EFF-1 was not changed; the average EFF-1::GFP intensity in control RNAi (70 ± 23 gray values/pixel; 17 cells from ten embryos) was similar to EFF-1::GFP intensity after rab-5 RNAi (69 ± 10 gray values/pixel; 23 cells from eight embryos). Our data suggest that, when rab-5 activity is reduced, EFF-1::GFP redistributes from intracellular vesicles to the plasma membranes.

To determine the effect of rab-5 knockdown on the dynamics of EFF-1 at the plasma membrane during fusion, we followed EFF-1::GFP colocalization with the apical plasma membrane.
Fusion is blocked in double Scheme of RAB-5-negative regulation of EFF-1 derived from the epistasis analysis. The scale bars represent 10 µm.

(R) Fusion pattern was visualized by live imaging of junction marker DLG-1::RFP expressed in rab-5 and eff-1 single and double mutants.
(B–E) Epistasis analysis between rab-5 and eff-1 reveals rab-5 as a negative regulator of eff-1. Fusion pattern was visualized by live imaging of junction marker DLG-1::RFP expressed in rab-5 and eff-1 single and double mutants.

(D) No fusion in double rab-5(+/−); eff-1(−/−) mutant is shown.
(E) Fusion is blocked in double rab-5(−/−); eff-1(−/−) mutant, unfused junctions (arrowheads).
(F) Scheme of RAB-5-negative regulation of EFF-1 derived from the epistasis analysis. The scale bars represent 10 µm.

membrane using the DLG-1::RFP reporter protein. We found that, when the apical junctions begin to disassemble in rab-5 RNAi embryos, EFF-1 is still weakly detected on cell membranes (Figure 2E, arrowhead, time 0'; Movie S3), but after 10 min of apical junction disassembly, EFF-1::GFP cannot be detected where the plasma membrane used to be (Figure 2E, arrowhead). Taken together, our results show that reduction in RAB-5 activity stabilizes EFF-1 localization at the apical plasma membrane of fusing cells, suggesting that RAB-5 is involved in the uptake of EFF-1 from the apical plasma membrane to endosomes.

To independently determine whether EFF-1 is indeed removed from the plasma membrane by endocytosis, we depleted a central endocytosis regulator, dynamin. DYN-1 is the only dynamin in C. elegans and is essential for embryogenesis (Clark et al., 1997). Significantly, in all surviving embryos that developed to the morphogenesis stages when fusion occurs (Figure 1), dyn-1 RNAi induced EFF-1::GFP mislocalization to the apical membrane of hypodermal cells (Figures 2F, 2G, and S2; Movie S5). These results support the hypothesis that EFF-1 localizes to endosomal organelles in the steady state. However, during embryonic morphogenesis, EFF-1 is continuously recycling between the apical plasma membrane and the endolysosomal system via receptor-mediated endocytosis. When internalization is blocked, EFF-1 mislocalizes to the apical plasma membrane.

**RAB-5 and DYN-1 Control Cell Fusion**

dyn-1 and rab-5 knockdown results in early embryonic arrest. Because most embryos arrest before EFF-1 expression and morphogenesis, we analyzed embryos that escape early arrest. dyn-1 RNAi treatments showed defects associated with ectopic fusion in 10%–20% of all the embryos (Figure 2G). The dyn-1 RNAi-induced hyperfusion phenotype was not observed in eff-1(ry21) embryos that lost the extrachromosomal EFF-1::GFP (n ~ 100), demonstrating that ectopic fusion observed following dynamin downregulation is mediated by EFF-1, indicating that eff-1 is epistatic to dyn-1.

Like with dyn-1(RNAi), in rab-5(ok2605)-null mutant, endogenous EFF-1 was mislocalized to apical junctions (Figure 3A), consistent with the rab-5 RNAi effect on EFF-1::GFP localization (Figures 2D and 2E). Moreover, we found that 20% of the rab-5(ok2605) embryos that escape early lethality showed ectopic fusion phenotype (n = 35; Figure 3A, asterisk).

To find out whether the hyperfusion phenotype induced by rab-5 knockdown depends on eff-1 activity, we followed the fusion phenotype of double eff-1(+/−);rab-5 mutants. rab-5 heterozygous embryos showed normal fusion pattern (Figure 3B). In contrast, we observed excessive fusion in rab-5 homozygous embryos (Figure 3C, asterisk). We found that eff-1(ry21) mutants displayed suppressed cell fusion in both rab-5 hetero- and homozygous embryos (Figures 3D and 3E). Thus, eff-1 is epistatic to rab-5, suggesting that the hyperfusion induced by the deletion of rab-5 is eff-1 dependent. In other words, rab-5 inhibits the fusion-inducing activity of eff-1 (Figure 3F). We conclude that downregulation of dynamin or RAB-5 results in an increase in EFF-1 localization to the apical plasma membrane and hyperfusion in embryos that did not arrest early in embryogenesis (Figures 2 and S2).

To identify additional genes responsible for intracellular EFF-1 trafficking, localization, and function, we screened candidate genes for defects in cell fusion and EFF-1 localization using immunofluorescence and live imaging. Most trafficking mutants tested did not show differences compared with wild-type animals (Tables S2 and S3). As previously shown by Kontani et al. (2005), mutations in the vacuolar ATPase complex proteins caused hyperfusion in late stages of embryonic morphogenesis (Figure S3). Because mutations in the V-ATPase complex affect multiple endocytic trafficking pathways (Nishi and Forgac, 2002) and exocytosis of multivesicular bodies (Liégeois et al., 2006), it is difficult to distinguish which trafficking stages were involved in EFF-1-retarded hyperfusion.
EFF-1 Localizes to Early Endosomes

To identify the puncta where EFF-1 localizes, we performed colocalization studies using structured illumination microscopy (SIM). C. elegans embryos that express GFP-tagged cellular markers were immunostained with anti-GFP antibody to detect intracellular membrane compartments and with anti-EFF-1 antibody to localize endogenous EFF-1. Colocalization was quantified as percentage of endogenous EFF-1 puncta that overlapped with each of the anti-GFP antibodies on superresolution 3D images (see Experimental Procedures). Only 6% of EFF-1 puncta were localized to the region of apical junctions, whereas 13% were associated with apical junctions within 200 nm distance (Figure 4A). EFF-1 was enriched in structures that were positive for the early endosome marker RAB-5::GFP (58% of colocalization; n = 21 embryos; Figures 4C and 4E; Table S1). Thirty percent of EFF-1 puncta colocalized with the general endosomal marker RME-8 (early, late endosome, and multivesicular body; Figures 4E, S4E, and S4F; Table S1), supporting EFF-1 presence in RAB-5-positive early endosomes. EFF-1 also showed significant colocalization with the Golgi marker MANS::GFP (19%), possibly due to secretory sorting and recycling between endosomes and the Golgi (Figures 4B and 4E). Nine percent of EFF-1 puncta colocalized with the lysosomal marker LMP-1::GFP (Figures 4D and 4E), suggesting that EFF-1 is also transported to lysosomes where it is probably degraded. EFF-1 puncta colocalized less than 5% with most other markers examined (RAB-10::GFP, RME-1::GFP, RAB-11::GFP, RAB-7::GFP, ALX-1::GFP, LGG-1::GFP, and VHA-5::GFP; Table S1). Data sets for these markers were not statistically different from each other and probably represent the background of the measurements. Thus, wild-type endogenous EFF-1 is detected mainly in RAB-5 early endosomes and is only transiently associated with the apical domains of the plasma membrane where it acts to fuse cells.

We found that, in a mixed population of embryos expressing EFF-1, 58% of the puncta colocalized with RAB-5 (Figure 4E). To determine whether EFF-1/RAB-5 colocalization changes over time of fusion, we measured their colocalization during

Figure 4. EFF-1 Localization to Intracellular Compartments

(A) The localization of EFF-1 revealed by an anti-EFF-1 monoclonal antibody (green) is compared with the apical junction (anti-DLG-1 antibody, magenta) using superresolution microscopy (SIM). (B–D) EFF-1 colocalization with stably expressed GFP-tagged markers of different membrane-bound organelles, detected with anti-GFP antibodies, magenta: Golgi complex, MANS::GFP (B); early endosomes, RAB-5::GFP (C), and lysosomes, LMP-1::GFP (D). Lower panel represents inset areas enlarged and shown in separate channels and merged. (E) Quantification of EFF-1 colocalization with different markers represents the ratio of EFF-1 puncta that overlay the puncta of indicated marker (number of colocalized EFF-1 puncta/total number of EFF-1 puncta as percentage). Bars represent mean percentage of colocalization calculated in 5–20 embryos (100–1,000 cells) ± SEM. The colocalization above 5% is shown in the graph. The full list of intracellular markers tested, number of puncta, and number of embryos per marker are shown in Table S1. See also Figure S4. The scale bar represents 10 μm.
distinct stages in embryonic morphogenesis. When hypodermal cell fusions are in progress (1.5-fold stage of elongation), we found that 45% of EFF-1 puncta colocalize with RAB-5 (n = 12; Figure S4A). Colocalization increased and reached a maximum when most dorsal cell fusions have been completed (69%; 1.8-fold stage; n = 18; Figure S4B). At later stages, we found a gradual reduction in EFF-1 colocalization with RAB-5 (Figures S4C and S4D). In contrast, colocalization of EFF-1 with lysosomal LMP-1 increased from 8% at the 1.5-fold stage to 26% at the 2-fold stage when most epidermal fusions have been completed. To summarize, we observe only minor localization of EFF-1 at the apical and basolateral membranes; rather, most EFF-1 localizes to early endosomes, the endocytic pathway, and to the Golgi apparatus. After cells fuse, most of EFF-1 is localized to RAB-5-positive early endosomes and partially in lysosomes where EFF-1 may undergo degradation.

EFF-1 Shuttles to the Fusion Sites and Back to the Cell Interior within Vesicles

To determine whether wild-type EFF-1::GFP transiently shuttles to the plasma membrane and back to the intracellular early endosomes, we analyzed time-lapse movies. Followed by live imaging, EFF-1::GFP appeared dispersed in the cytoplasm within puncta in hypodermal cells ready to fuse (Figure 5B). Faint EFF-1::GFP puncta approached the cell junction from both fusing cells (C, arrowheads) and move along the junction (D and E, arrowheads). Arrows mark the edge of the cell junction undergoing disassembly.

(F) EFF-1 puncta coming from opposite cells join together on the cell junction (arrowhead).
(G and H) At the end of the first dorsal cell fusion, EFF-1::GFP puncta are distributed in the cytoplasm of the syncytium.
(I) Second junction discontinuity revealing the second cell fusion (arrow).
(J) EFF-1::GFP vesicles become larger, brighter, and aligned in an anterior-posterior line (arrowheads) within the intermediate syncytium. The scale bar represents 10 μm.

See Movies S1 and S2.
were analyzed (n = 50); movies of three independent embryos were used (normalized to EFF-1::GFP gray values (middle line)

(D) EFF-1::GFP relative intensity measured on the cell junctions in embryos treated with statistical difference between data sets (Student’s t test).

Middle line reflects the mean; upper and lower lines show SD. Thus, EFF-1-containing vesicles transiently associate (e.g., fuse) to all types of junctions. There is no embryo. Each point represents number of events (colocalization) per junction over time. n = 8 embryos with a total of 6–10 junctions analyzed over

of the apical junctions, small EFF-1::GFP puncta merged into larger and brighter puncta (Figure 5J, arrowheads). Based on these findings, we hypothesize that EFF-1 is stored within early endosomes and is transiently transported to the cell surface when cells are ready to fuse.

EFF-1 Is Dynamically Delivered to All Apical Domains, Including Ones that Do Not Fuse

It has previously been reported that EFF-1*:::GFP (*, mutant protein; del Campo et al., 2003) accumulates only at the membranes between cells that are destined to fuse. However, the dynamic subcellular localization of EFF-1*:::GFP does not match our observations made by immunofluorescence staining using anti-EFF-1 monoclonal antibodies (Figures 1 and 5S) and our EFF-1::GFP dynamic behavior (Figure 5; Movies S1 and S2). Furthermore, the EFF-1*:::GFP construct harbors two point mutations at highly conserved sites, T176A and N529D, and does not rescue eff-1(hy21) animals (Avinoam and Podbilewicz, 2011).

To test whether EFF-1 transport is specifically targeted to the plasma membrane domains where fusion occurs, we analyzed the directionality of EFF-1::GFP delivery to the apical junctions between the cells, which lie posterior to the deirid (d) (Figure 6A). In these examples, a dorsal hypodermal cell that is not expressing EFF-1::GFP at a given time point is marked by minus; the directionality of EFF-1::GFP delivery to the apical junctions between different cell types: +/-; +/-; and +/s. Schematics represent highlighted cells from the picture; EFF-1::GFP (green puncta) transiently colocalizes with apical junctions (see Movie S5).

(B) After rab-5(RNAi), EFF-1::GFP is spread to all the junctions of -/+ and +/+ types. In schematics, accumulation of EFF-1::GFP is visualized by the green line on the magenta apical junctions (see Movie S9).

(C) Categorical scatterplot of EFF-1::GFP vesicle colocalization to the different junctions per 10 µm of length per hour, measured in wild-type

Figure 6. EFF-1 Is Constitutively Transported to All Apical Plasma Membrane Domains

(A) EFF-1::GFP (green puncta colocalization with the apical junctions marked DLG-1::RFP (magenta). On the picture and on the schematics, EFF-1-expressing cells are marked with “+,” epidermal cell that does not express EFF-1 is marked by “-”; d, deirid; s, seam cell. EFF-1::GFP is transiently localized to the junctions between different cell types: -/+; +/++; and +/s. Schematics represent highlighted cells from the picture; EFF-1::GFP (green puncta) transiently colocalizes with apical junctions (see Movie S3).

Based on our results, we propose that vesicles containing EFF-1::GFP cargo have the same probability of fusing with all apical plasma membranes.

In summary, EFF-1 localization in C. elegans embryonic epidermal cells is tightly maintained in early endosomes by the RAB-5- and DYN-1-dependent endocytic machinery. The EFF-1 protein is dynamically delivered to all apical plasma membranes transiently and without specificity to the place of fusion.

DISCUSSION

Based on our results, we propose a model for the regulation of EFF-1 localization and fusion activity by endocytosis.

Please cite this article in press as: Smurova and Podbilewicz, RAB-5- and DYNAMIN-1-Mediated Endocytosis of EFF-1 Fusogen Controls Cell-Cell Fusion, Cell Reports (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.01.027
Synthesized EFF-1 transiently localizes to the surrounding apical plasma membranes of EFF-1-expressing cells. Membrane fusion is activated when the concentration of EFF-1 on opposing membranes exceed a certain threshold and is mediated by homotypic interactions between EFF-1 proteins expressed from two cells (Podbilewicz et al., 2006). After constitutive non-selective targeting to all the apical domains of the plasma membrane, EFF-1 is recycled to early endosomes via dynamin/RAB-5-mediated trafficking (Figure 7). EFF-1 accumulates in RAB-5-positive endosomes probably because the rate of internalization is faster than the rate of transport to the apical plasma membranes. If EFF-1 trafficking is disrupted by RAB-5 knockdown or by DYN-1 downregulation, EFF-1 accumulates in the plasma membranes and can cause excessive cell fusion that contributes to embryonic lethality during elongation (morphogenesis).

Traffic Defects May Cause EFF-1 Membrane Accumulation Prior to Fusion

Our results demonstrate that cell-cell fusion in C. elegans embryos requires transient and low level of EFF-1 localization to the fusing cell membranes (Figures S5A–S5D). In contrast to the results reported here, EFF-1 stable expression at the plasma membrane was observed in previous studies (Avinoam et al., 2011; del Campo et al., 2005). Indeed, an EFF-1::GFP was concentrated at cell-cell apical junctions in C. elegans embryos (Figure S5E, arrow; del Campo et al., 2005). However, we found that this EFF-1::GFP reporter carries two point mutations (T176A and N529D) and does not rescue eff-1(n21) animals (Avinoam and Podbilewicz, 2011). We suggest that the mutations cause EFF-1::GFP abnormal accumulation in some apical junctions. Additionally, EFF-1 ectopically expressed under a heat shock promoter was detected at the plasma membranes of intestinal cells just before fusion in C. elegans embryos (Figure S5F, arrows). This was also true for EFF-1 that was ectopically expressed in nematode neurons, cultured insect, and mammalian cells (Figure S5G, arrows; Avinoam et al., 2011; Neumann et al., 2015; Podbilewicz et al., 2006; Zeev-Ben-Mordehai et al., 2014). These ectopic overexpression setups give rise to aberrant distribution of EFF-1 that does not mirror that of the highly regulated endogenous protein in the embryonic epidermis.

EFF-1 Fuses Cells Locally

According to the homotypic fusion model supported by recent biochemical and structural evidence, membrane fusion is mediated by EFF-1 trans-trimerization (Pérez-Vargas et al., 2014). The bright EFF-1 intracellular vesicles detected by immunofluorescence are likely to be composed of EFF-1 in a postfusion, trimeric conformation. It is unlikely that the different anti-EFF-1 antibodies we have used are trimer specific, because the same pattern was observed by EFF-1::GFP expression. Moreover, our monoclonal antibodies equally recognize both monomers and trimers by ELISA and western blot, including in samples of purified monomeric and trimeric EFF-1 ectodomains (data not shown). EFF-1::GFP vesicles became visible only at the onset of membrane fusion (within minutes before junction disassembly). We suggest that the concentration of EFF-1 monomers that is sufficient to initiate membrane fusion in vivo is low and barely detectable by confocal and superresolution microscopy. Localized high concentrations of EFF-1 monomers may be sufficient to initiate trans-EFF-1 complex formation and fusion.

EFF-1 vesicles are concentrated along lines that run parallel to the seam cells and are enriched with microtubules (Figure S1). We also observed that junction disassembly is often initiated at specific locations within cell junctions. These findings are compatible with the idea that microtubules mediate EFF-1 transport to specific places on the cell membranes from both cells undergoing fusion. EFF-1 local enrichment on both sides of the plasma membranes is sufficient to initiate cell fusion, as supported by genetic mosaic analyses of EFF-1-mediated fusion in C. elegans, in cell culture, and between viruses and cells (Avinoam et al., 2011; Podbilewicz et al., 2006).

EFF-1 Trafficking is Regulated by RAB-5

Endocytosis plays an essential role in intercellular signaling, uptake of nutrients, and membrane recycling (Grant and Donaldson, 2009; McMahon and Boucrot, 2011; Mellman, 1996). RAB-5 is a central regulator of the early endocytic pathway and is a marker for the early endosome (Mizuno-Yamasaki et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2014; Zerial and McBride, 2001). Based on live imaging and immunolocalization, we propose that EFF-1 is transiently localized to the plasma membrane, internalized, and transported to early endosomes (Figure 7). There are two possibilities for how EFF-1 is transported from the plasma membrane to the early endosome. Some cells completely internalize their plasma membrane within 0.5–2 hr (Steinman et al., 1976), and EFF-1 can be endocytosed with the general membrane turnover. Another option is that EFF-1 transport is specific and mediated by an endocytosis signal (Traub, 2009). Trans-oligomerization of EFF-1 from opposing plasma membranes and the following conformational changes of trimers are proposed to dock the membranes and to initiate membrane fusion.
Soluble DIII was shown to block EFF-1-mediated cell fusion in transfected mammalian cells, supporting the model based on class II viral fusion proteins in which DIII translocation from a linear pre-fusion conformation to a parallel postfusion hairpin conformation is required for membrane fusion (Pérez-Vargas et al., 2014). The localization of EFF-1::GFP in rab-5(RNAi) embryos shows mislocalization to all the apical plasma membranes including the domains that do not normally fuse (Figures 2B and 6B). Thus, EFF-1 is transported to all apical plasma membranes domains and not only to fusion-fated domains of the apical plasma membrane.

Is Endocytosis a Universal Regulator of Cell Fusion? Because EFF-1 is a powerful fusogen, specialized safety mechanisms are required to prevent ectopic cell fusion. First, EFF-1 expression is regulated transcriptionally (Alper and Kenyon, 2002). EFF-1 expression is regulated transcriptionally (Alper and Kenyon, 2002; Brabin et al., 2011; Cassata et al., 2005; Fernandes and Sternberg, 2007; Margalit et al., 2007; Mason et al., 2008; Pellegrino et al., 2011; Rasmussen et al., 2008; Shemer and Podbilewicz, 2002; Walser et al., 2008; Weinstein and Mendoza, 2013; Yi and Sommer, 2007). Gene-expression-based regulation may be the primary mechanism of specificity in EFF-1-mediated fusion. Second, EFF-1 expressed in one cell needs a partner from a neighboring cell in order to mediate fusion (Podbilewicz et al., 2006). Third, local concentration of EFF-1 on the plasma membrane is downregulated by dynamin/RAB-5-mediated endocytosis. Trafficking of EFF-1 may provide a fine-tuning to its fusion activity. During mammalian myoblast and osteoclast fusion, the opposite control mechanism was found to occur: cells required endocytosis and dynamin activity in order to fuse (Leikina et al., 2013; Shin et al., 2014; Verma et al., 2014). It is conceivable that endocytosis and recycling act during diverse cell-cell fusion events. Recently, the engulfment pathway was shown to act upstream of EFF-1 activity during regenerative axonal fusion in C. elegans (Neumann et al., 2015). In addition, gamete fusion in the mouse was linked to endocytosis and exocytosis (Satouh et al., 2012; Wassarman and Litscher, 2008). In Drosophila myoblast fusion, the adhesion molecule SNS, which is essential for fusion, was shown to colocalize with Rab-5 (Haralalka et al., 2014). Here, we found a clear case in which endocytosis negatively regulates EFF-1-mediated cell-cell fusion to prevent excessive syncytia formation, which can result in abnormalities and contributes to late embryonic lethality.

In conclusion, we found that the GTPases RAB-5 and dynamin control EFF-1 transient localization on the surface of cells destined to fuse and prevent excess fusion by dynamically and constitutively internalizing this fusion protein from all the apical domains of the plasma membrane.
NGM plates for 1 hr to get rid of OP50 bacteria in the intestine. Embryos were examined on the next day after larvae were transferred to plates with bacteria producing the specific dsRNAs.
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Figure S1. EFF-1 puncta arrangement along microtubule bundles, related to Figure 1
Organization of EFF-1 and cell junctions with respect to the cytoskeleton was analyzed by immunofluorescence. Scale bar, 10 µm.
(A) EFF-1 puncta along microtubule longitudinal bundles. Anti-EFF-1 antibody, green; anti-tubulin antibody, magenta.
(B) Bundles of microtubules localize parallel to the row of seam cells. Anti-tubulin antibody, magenta; anti-DLG-1 antibody, cyan.
(C) EFF-1 does not colocalize with fibrous organelles (hemidesmosome-like structures). Anti-EFF-1 antibody, green; anti-myotactin antibody, magenta.
(D) Fibrous organelles are aligned parallel to the row of seam cells. Anti-myotactin antibody, magenta; anti-DLG-1 antibody, cyan.
(E) EFF-1 does not colocalize with actin. Anti-EFF-1 antibody, green; Texas Red-phalloidin, magenta.
(F) Intermediate filaments do not show colocalization with EFF-1 puncta in embryos expressing IFB-1::GFP. Anti-EFF-1 antibody, green; anti-GFP antibody, magenta.
Figure S2. DYN-1 and RAB-5 knockdown induces EFF-1::GFP plasma membrane accumulation, related to Figure 2

(A-F) Ventral views of live embryos before the first fusion event under control RNAi and dyn-1 RNAi treatment. Insets represent the areas of cell junctions between the cells in the process of fusion. EFF-1::GFP localize to cytoplasmic vesicles in control RNAi embryos (C, E, arrows). EFF-1::GFP shows plasma membrane mislocalization in dyn-1 RNAi embryos (D, F arrowheads) (G, H) EFF-1::GFP (green) and DLG-1::RFP (magenta) expression in rab-5 RNAi treated embryos. Surface focus shows hyperfusion of hypodermal cells (G), center focus represents EFF-1 apical membrane localization (H, arrows; See also Movie S5). Scale bars, 10 μm.
Figure S3. V-ATPase regulates cell fusion, related to Figure 3
Effect of mutations in two subunits of the vacuolar ATPase, VHA-17, and VHA-5, affect EFF-1 localization and fusion. Embryos were incubated at room temperature for 5-20 h and immunostained with anti-EFF-1 (green) and anti-DLG-1 antibody (magenta) followed SIM.
(A) Hyperfusion phenotype caused by vha-17 mutation associates with smaller but denser EFF-1 puncta which did not colocalize with cell junctions.
(B) vha-5 mutation induces hyperfusion but does not change EFF-1 localization.
Scale bar, 10 µm.
**Figure S4. EFF-1/RAB-5 and EFF-1/RME-8 colocalization, related to Figure 4.**

(A-C) EFF-1/RAB-5 colocalization changes in embryonic development. EFF-1 (green) and RAB-5 (magenta) colocalization at different stages of embryonic fusion was visualized by immunofluorescence with specific antibodies.

(A) 1.5 fold embryo
(B) 1.8 fold embryo
(C) 3 fold embryo

(A’-C’) Enlargements of inset regions from (A-C) showing EFF-1, RAB-5 immunofluorescence, and merged images.

(D) Percentage of EFF-1 colocalization with RAB-5 during embryonic development (mean ± SEM). Number of puncta analyzed for each stage of morphogenesis was n>200.

(E) Colocalization of EFF-1 (green) with RME-8 (magenta, marker that is present in early, recycling, and late endosomes). EFF-1 and RME-8 patterns are visualized using immunofluorescence.

(F) Boxed region from (E) is enlarged and shown in separate channels: EFF-1, left; RME-8, middle; and merged, right.

Scale bars, 10 µm.
Figure S5. EFF-1 colocalization with membranes and apical junctions, related to Figure 6
(A) EFF-1 colocalization with basolateral membrane before fusion in LET-413::CFP expressing embryo is revealed by immunofluorescence with anti-EFF-1 (green) and anti-GFP antibody (LET-413, magenta).
(B-D) Individual confocal z-slices of cell junctions were taken from the dorsal side of embryos in the process of fusion. Enlarged areas of diverse junctions show partial EFF-1 colocalization with cell junctions (arrowheads).
(B) EFF-1 (green) and basolateral membrane marker LET-413::CFP (magenta) are visualized by immunofluorescence with anti-EFF-1 and anti-CFP antibody.
(C) Endogenous EFF-1 was immunolabeled with anti-EFF-1 antibody, green; apical junctions were detected with anti-DLG-1 antibody, magenta.
(D) Live images of apical junctions (DLG-1::RFP, magenta) showing transient colocalization with EFF-1::GFP (green) prior to cell fusion.
(E) Nonfusogenic EFF-1::GFP* accumulation at the plasma membrane (arrow) in C. elegans embryo (*Del Campo et al., 2005). Immunofluorescence with anti-GFP antibody (green) and anti-DLG-1 (apical junction, magenta).
(F) Ectopic EFF-1 localization to the plasma membrane of intestinal cells (arrows) following heat shock in an embryo expressing hsp::eff-1 transgene. (del Campo et al., 2005; Shemer et al., 2004). The projection of the z-slices of intestine is shown. Some intestinal cells have fused. Immunofluorescence with anti-EFF-1 antibody (green) and anti-DLG-1 (apical junction, magenta).
(G) Ectopic EFF-1 is detected in the plasma membrane of mammalian BHK cells transfected with eff-1::V5 construct (arrows). Immunofluorescence with anti-V5 antibody (green) and DAPI (nuclei, blue).
Scale bar represents 5 µm in (A-D) and 10 µm in (D-F).
Table S1

EFF-1 colocalization with cellular markers, related to Figure 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organelle (Ordered from highest to lowest)</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Mean (%) colocalization ±SEM</th>
<th>number of puncta</th>
<th>number of embryos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early endosome (EE)</td>
<td>RT122</td>
<td>RAB-5::GFP</td>
<td>58.2 ± 5.7</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early endosome to MVB</td>
<td>DH1336</td>
<td>RME-8::GFP</td>
<td>30.3 ±7.3</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golgi</td>
<td>RT1315</td>
<td>MANS::GFP</td>
<td>19.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosome</td>
<td>RT258</td>
<td>LMP-1::GFP</td>
<td>8.5 ± 3.3</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basolateral membrane</td>
<td>BP712</td>
<td>LET-413::CFP</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical junction</td>
<td>SU93</td>
<td>AJM-1::GFP</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical junction</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>DLG-1 (Ab)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical endosome</td>
<td>RT311</td>
<td>RAB-11::GFP</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE from Golgi to PM</td>
<td>RT525</td>
<td>RAB-10::GFP</td>
<td>3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling endosome</td>
<td>RT348</td>
<td>RME-1::GFP</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.04</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late endosome</td>
<td>RT476</td>
<td>RAB-7::GFP</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagosome</td>
<td>BU071</td>
<td>LGG-1::GFP</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>RT1356</td>
<td>ALX-1::GFP</td>
<td>1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuolar ATPase</td>
<td>ML846</td>
<td>VHA-5::GFP</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>RT1341</td>
<td>HGRS-1::GFP</td>
<td>0 ± 0.04</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondria</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>HSP60-s (Ab)</td>
<td>No (visual observation)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteasome</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>PAS-7 (Ab)</td>
<td>No (by visual observation)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>CYP33E1-s (Ab)</td>
<td>No (by visual observation)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocytic invagination</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>DYN-1 (Ab)</td>
<td>No (by visual observation)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2
Hyperfusion and ectopic EFF-1 expression in trafficking mutants, related to Figure 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected pathway</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Protein mutated (allele)</th>
<th>Hyperfusion</th>
<th>EFF-1 mislocalization to apical junctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde Golgi to ER</td>
<td>RB1535</td>
<td>ARF1.1(ok1840)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early endocytosis</td>
<td>CX51</td>
<td>DYN-1(ky51)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basolateral recycling</td>
<td>RT2</td>
<td>RAB-10(q373)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basolateral recycling</td>
<td>VC1026</td>
<td>RAB-10(ok1494)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling</td>
<td>DH1201</td>
<td>RME-1(b1045)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early, recycling, late endocytosis</td>
<td>DH1206</td>
<td>RME-8(b1023)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early endocytosis</td>
<td>VC2199</td>
<td>RAB-5(ok2605)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB-5 regulation</td>
<td>VC1282</td>
<td>RABX-5(ok1763)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocytic recycling</td>
<td>RT206</td>
<td>RAB-35(b1013)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocytic recycling</td>
<td>VC900</td>
<td>ALX-1(gk412)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To lysosome</td>
<td>GS2643</td>
<td>CUP-5(ar465)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosomal acidification, trafficking, apical secretion (V0-ATPase, subunit H)</td>
<td>JR2750</td>
<td>VHA-17/FUS-1(w13)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosomal acidification, trafficking, apical secretion (V0-ATPase, subunit A)</td>
<td>ML851</td>
<td>VHA-5(mc38)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3
Fusion abnormalities and ectopic EFF-1 expression in embryos treated with RNAi, related to Figure 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNAi</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Fusion defects in embryos (n)</th>
<th>Fusion defects in larvae (n)</th>
<th>EFF-1 mislocalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rab-5</td>
<td>emb. lethal</td>
<td>yes (103)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dyn-1</td>
<td>emb. lethal</td>
<td>yes (55)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aps-1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (45)</td>
<td>no (15)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syn-4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (37)</td>
<td>no (10)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rab-6.1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (35)</td>
<td>no (22)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rab-6.2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (40)</td>
<td>no (15)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rne-6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (48)</td>
<td>no (18)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rabx-5</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (42)</td>
<td>no (20)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bli-4</td>
<td>emb. lethal</td>
<td>no (20)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C06C3.5negative control</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no (20)</td>
<td>no (20)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplemental movie legends

Movie S1. EFF-1 dynamics during cell fusion, related to Figures 2 and 5
Time lapse recording of an eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46[dlg-1::RFP]; hyEx160[peff-1::eff-1::GFP] transgenic embryo. EFF-1::GFP is shown in green, DLG-1::RFP is displayed in magenta. The z-series were recorded every 15 sec using spinning disk confocal microscopy, multiple intensity projection of a z-stack is shown at each time point. Lower panel represents enlarged area of the embryo (same embryo as in Figure 5). Arrows mark the start of apical junction disassembly. Time in minutes:seconds is shown at the top right corner.

Movie S2. EFF-1::GFP dynamics during late stages in syncytia formation in the dorsal hypodermis, related to Figure 2
Another embryo of an eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46[dlg-1::RFP]; hyEx160[peff-1::eff-1::GFP] strain showing later stages of hypodermis fusion. Arrows indicate the beginning of apical junction disassembly. Microscopy and time interval as in Movie S1.

Movie S3. EFF-1 dynamics after RAB-5 depletion by RNAi, related to Figure 2E
Time lapse recording of an eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46[dlg-1::RFP]; hyEx160[peff-1::eff-1::GFP] embryo after rab-5(RNAi) treatment. Green represents EFF-1::GFP, magenta shows DLG-1::RFP. The z-series were recorded every 30 seconds, lower panel represents enlarged area of the fusion (Figure 2E). Arrow marks the beginning of apical junction disassembly. Note the disappearance of the bright EFF-1::GFP puncta, the localization of EFF-1::GFP on the junctions and the increase of numerous small and less bright EFF-1::GFP vesicular staining.

Movie S4. rab-5 depletion induces EFF-1 mislocalization to the apical plasma membrane and hyperfusion, related to Figures 2 and S2
Animated z-stack of an eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46[dlg-1::RFP]; hyEx160[peff-1::eff-1::GFP] embryo treated with rab-5 RNAi. All cells in the dorsal hypodermis are fused to each other (hyperfusion) in contrast to three unfused syncytia (hyp5, 6, and 7) in the wt embryos (Figure 1A). EFF-1 is expressed on plasma membrane of hypodermis syncytia. Maximum intensity projection of the dorsal side of this embryo is shown in Supplemental Figure S2G, S2H.
Movie S5. Apical membrane EFF-1 expression and hyperfusion induced by dyn-1 RNAi, related to Figure 2F
Animated z-stack of live embryo expressing EFF-1::GFP and DLG-1::RFP after dyn-1 RNAi treatment. This embryo shows EFF-1::GFP expression on the apical membrane, defects in embryogenesis, and hyperfusion.

Movie S6. rab-5 RNAi depletion induce EFF-1::GFP accumulation to all surrounding apical membranes, related to Figure 6
Time lapse recording of an eff-1(hy21)II; mcIs46[dlg-1::RFP]; hyEx160[peff-1::eff-1::GFP] embryo after rab-5(RNAi) treatment. Green represents EFF-1::GFP, magenta shows DLG-1::RFP. The z-series were recorded every 30 seconds.

Supplemental experimental procedures

All nematode strains were maintained according to standard protocols (Brenner, 1974; Sulston and Hodgkin, 1988). In addition to the wild-type strain N2, the following mutations, transgenes and strains were used:

Markers of cell junctions and cytoskeleton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SU93</td>
<td>jclsl[ajm-1::gfp; unc-29(+); rol-6(su1006)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Mohler et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML1651</td>
<td>mcls46 [dlg-1::rfp; unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>Michel Labouesse; (Diogon et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>let-413::cfp; rol-6</td>
<td>Olaf Bossinger; (Pilipiuk et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ3464</td>
<td>jfb-1::gfp</td>
<td>Limor Broday; (Woo et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EFF-1 alleles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP75</td>
<td>eff-1(hy21)II</td>
<td>BP; (Mohler et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP347</td>
<td>eff-1(ok1021)II</td>
<td>BP; (Podbilewicz et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Markers of intracellular organelles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DH1336</td>
<td>bhs34[rme-8::GFP + rol-6(su1006)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Zhang et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT122</td>
<td>pwls20[GFP::rab-5 + unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Sato et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT311</td>
<td>pwls69[vha6p::GFP::rab-11 + unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Chen et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT476</td>
<td>pwls170[vha6p::GFP::rab-7 + Cb unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Chen et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT525</td>
<td>pwls206[vha6p::GFP::rab-10 + Cb unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Chen et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT1043</td>
<td>pwls403[Ppie-1::mCherry::rab-5 + unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Sato et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT1315</td>
<td>pwls481[Pvha-6::mans::GFP]</td>
<td>CGC; (Chen et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT258</td>
<td>pwls50[Imp-1::GFP + Cb-unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Treusch et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA2123</td>
<td>P[gg-1::GFP::LGG-1; rol-6]</td>
<td>Hong Zhang; (Melendez et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT1356</td>
<td>pwls524 [pvha-6::GFP::ALX-1]</td>
<td>Barth Grant; (Shi et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT4</td>
<td>pwls1 [palx-1::GFP::ALX-1]</td>
<td>Barth Grant; (Shi et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT1341</td>
<td>pwls518 [pvha-6::GFP::HGRS-1]</td>
<td>Barth Grant; (Shi et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT348</td>
<td>pwls87 [pvha-6::GFP::RME-1]</td>
<td>Barth Grant; (Shi et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML846</td>
<td>vha-8(mc38)IV; mcEx337[vha-5(+):GFP; rol-6(su1006)]</td>
<td>CGC; (Liegios et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traffic mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CX51</td>
<td>dyn-1(ky51)</td>
<td>CGC; (Clark et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC900</td>
<td>alx-1(gk412) III</td>
<td>CGC; (Shi et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT2</td>
<td>rab-10(q373) I</td>
<td>CGC; (Chen et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH1201</td>
<td>rme-1(b1045) V</td>
<td>CGC; (Shi et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC1026</td>
<td>rab-10(ok1494) I</td>
<td>CGC; (Shi et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB1535</td>
<td>arf-1.1&amp;F45E4.7(ok1840) IV</td>
<td>CGC; (Sato et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML732</td>
<td>vha-5(mc38)/unc-24(e138) dpy-20(e1282) IV</td>
<td>CGC; (Liegeois et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR2750</td>
<td>vha-17/fus-1(w13)</td>
<td>Joel Rothman; (Kontani et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC2199</td>
<td>rab-5(ok2605) I/hT2<a href="l;III">bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qls48</a></td>
<td>CGC; (Sato et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH1206</td>
<td>rme-8(b1023) I</td>
<td>CGC; (Zhang et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC1282</td>
<td>rabx-5(ok1763) III</td>
<td>CGC; (Sato et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT206</td>
<td>rab-35(b1013) III</td>
<td>Barth Grant; unpublished</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strains constructed in this study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP953</td>
<td>eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46 [dlg-1::rfp; unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>BP; this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP954</td>
<td>eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46; hyEx160[peff-1::eff-1::GFP]</td>
<td>BP; this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP955</td>
<td>rab-5(ok2605) I/hT2<a href="l;III">bli-4(e937) let-?(q782) qls48</a>; mcls46</td>
<td>BP; this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP956</td>
<td>rab-5(ok2605) I/hT2<a href="l;III">bli-4(e937) let(q782) qls48</a>; eff-1(hy21)II; mcls46[dlg-1::rfp; unc-119(+)]</td>
<td>BP; this study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunofluorescence of C. elegans embryos

Eggs were collected by hypochlorite treatment of gravid adult worms and transferred to poly-lysine coated slides. Embryos were permeabilized by the freeze-crack method (Strome and Wood, 1983) and fixed in 100% methanol (5 min), 100% acetone (5 min) at -20°C. Slides were washed for 10 min with PBS, and blocked with blocking solution of 0.2% Ez-Block (Biological Industries, Israel) in PBST (PBS with 0.01% Tween). Slides were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with primary antibodies, washed three times for 10 min each with PBS at room temperature, and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with Alexa488, Alexa568, or Alexa647 conjugated α-mouse or α-rabbit secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) in PBST. Slides were washed three times for 10 min each in PBST and mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech). The following primary antibodies were used at the dilutions indicated: α-EFF-1 (ascites 20.10 from mouse; at 1:1000; Fridman et al., Submitted); MH27 (α-AJM-1, mouse, at 1: 500), α-GFP (rabbit; 1:500; MBL), α-tubulin (mouse, Sigma, 1:500). α-DLG-1 antibody (rabbit, at 1:400) is a kind gift from Olaf Bossinger. MH46 (α-myotactin, mouse, at 1: 400) is a kind gift from Limor Broday, Antibodies against C. elegans proteins CYP33E1-s, PAS-7, HSP60-s were obtained from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (Hadwiger et al., 2010) and used in 1:10 dilution. Texas-red X phalloidin (Molecular probes) in final concentration of 0.2 µM was added with secondary antibody.

Cell culture, live imaging and immunofluorescence of BHK cells

Baby Hamster Kidney (BHK) cells and their growth conditions were according to standard protocols (Stoker and Macpherson, 1964). Cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 100 µg/ml Penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Biological Industries, Kibbutz Beit Haemek, Israel) and sodium pyruvate (Gibco) in a humid atmosphere of 5% CO2 up to a maximal density of 10⁶/ml. Cells were transfected with 2 µg/ml of eff-1 pCAGGS DNA vector using Fugene 6 (Roche) at 1:4 ratio. After 24 hours of transfection the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and
processed for immunofluorescence. Cells were incubated in 40 mM NH4Cl, washed in PBS, permeabilized in 0.1% tritonX-100 in PBS and blocked in 1% FBS in PBS. The coverslips were incubated 1 hour with anti-V5 1:500 (Invitrogen) mouse monoclonal antibodies at RT. The secondary antibodies were goat anti-mouse coupled to Alexa488 (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen), nuclei were visualized with DAPI (1 µg/ml) (Avinoam et al., 2011; Perez-Vargas et al., 2014).
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